556: MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS I

MODES OF STOCHASTIC CONVERGENCE

The following definitions describe the different modes of convergence that are considered for sequences of random variables and their distributions. The definitions are stated in terms of scalar random variables, but extend naturally to vector random variables. For example, some results are stated in terms of the Euclidean distance in one dimension

$$|X_n - X| = \sqrt{(X_n - X)^2}$$

but this can be extended to the general Euclidean distance for sequences of k-dimensional random variables $\underset{\sim}{X}_n = (X_{n1}, \ldots, X_{nk})^\mathsf{T}$,

$$||\underset{\sim}{X}_n - \underset{\sim}{X}|| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} (X_{nj} - X_j)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

1. CONVERGENCE IN DISTRIBUTION

DEFINITION: CONVERGENCE IN DISTRIBUTION
Consider a sequence of random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ and a corresponding sequence of cdfs, $F_{X_1}, F_{X_2}, \ldots$ so that for $n = 1, 2, ..$ $F_{X_n}(x) = \mathrm{P}[X_n \leq x]$. Suppose that there exists a cdf, F_X, such that **for all** x **at which** F_X **is continuous**,

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x).$$

Then $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ **converges in distribution** to random variable X with cdf F_X, denoted

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$

and F_X is the **limiting distribution**.

Convergence of a sequence of mgfs also indicates convergence in distribution, that is, if for all t at which $M_X(t)$ is defined, if as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, we have $M_{X_i}(t) \longrightarrow M_X(t) \Longleftrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$.

DEFINITION: DEGENERATE DISTRIBUTIONS
The sequence of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ converges in distribution to constant c if the limiting distribution of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ is **degenerate at** c, that is,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$

and $\mathrm{P}[X = c] = 1$, so that

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < c \\ 1 & x \geq c \end{cases}$$

Interpretation: A special case of convergence in distribution occurs when the limiting distribution is discrete, with the probability mass function only being non-zero at a single value, that is, if the limiting random variable is X, then $P[X = c] = 1$ and zero otherwise. The following theorem illustrates another aspect of convergence in distribution.

THEOREM
The sequence of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ **converges in distribution** to c if and only if, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[|X_n - c| < \epsilon\right] = 1$$

This theorem indicates that convergence in distribution to a constant c occurs if and only if the probability becomes increasingly concentrated around c as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

2. CONVERGENCE IN PROBABILITY

DEFINITION: CONVERGENCE IN PROBABILITY TO A CONSTANT
The sequence of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ **converges in probability** to constant c, denoted

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} c$$

if

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[|X_n - c| < \epsilon\right] = 1$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[|X_n - c| \geq \epsilon\right] = 0$$

that is, if the limiting distribution of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ is **degenerate at** c.

Interpretation : Convergence in probability to a constant is precisely equivalent to convergence in distribution to a constant.

THEOREM (WEAK LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS)
Suppose that $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with expectation μ and finite variance σ^2. Let Y_n be defined by

$$Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

then, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[|Y_n - \mu| < \epsilon\right] = 1,$$

that is, $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$, and thus the mean of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ converges in probability to μ.

Proof. Using the properties of expectation, it can be shown that Y_n has expectation μ and variance σ^2/n, and hence by the Chebychev Inequality,

$$P\left[|Y_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon\right] \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$. Hence

$$P\left[|Y_n - \mu| < \epsilon\right] \longrightarrow 1 \qquad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty$$

and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$. ∎

DEFINITION: (CONVERGENCE IN PROBABILITY TO A RANDOM VARIABLE)
The sequence of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ **converges in probability** to random variable X, denoted $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, if, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[|X_n - X| < \epsilon\right] = 1$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} P\left[|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon\right] = 0$$

3. CONVERGENCE ALMOST SURELY

DEFINITION: (CONVERGENCE ALMOST SURELY)
The sequence of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ **converges almost surely** to random variable X, denoted

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$$

if

$$P\left[\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty} |X_n - X| < \epsilon\right] = 1,$$

that is, if $A \equiv \{\omega : X_n(\omega) \longrightarrow X(\omega)\}$, then $P(A) = 1$.

Alternative characterization:

- Let $\epsilon > 0$, and the sets $A_n(\epsilon)$ and $B_n(\epsilon)$ be defined by

$$A_n(\epsilon) \equiv \{\omega : |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \epsilon\} \qquad B_m(\epsilon) \equiv \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} A_n(\epsilon).$$

  Then $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if and only if

$$P(B_m(\epsilon)) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad as \qquad m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

  Interpretation:
  - The event $A_n(\epsilon)$ corresponds to the set of ω for which $X_n(\omega)$ is more than ϵ away from X.
  - The event $B_m(\epsilon)$ corresponds to the set of ω for which $X_n(\omega)$ is more than ϵ away from X, for **at least one** $n \geq m$.
  - The event $B_m(\epsilon)$ occurs **if there exists** an $n \geq m$ such that $|X_n - X| > \epsilon$.
  - $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if and only if and only if $P(B_m(\epsilon)) \longrightarrow 0$.

- $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if and only if

$$P[\ |X_n - X| > \epsilon \text{ infinitely often }\ ] = 0$$

  that is, $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ if and only if there are **only finitely many** X_n for which

$$|X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \epsilon$$

  if ω lies in a set of probability greater than zero.

Alternative terminology:

- $X_n \longrightarrow X$ *almost everywhere*, $X_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} X$
- $X_n \longrightarrow X$ *with probability 1*, $X_n \xrightarrow{w.p.1} X$

Interpretation: A random variable is a real-valued function from sample space Ω to $\mathbb{R}$. The sequence of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ corresponds to a sequence of functions defined on elements of Ω. Almost sure convergence requires that the sequence of real numbers $X_n(\omega)$ converges to $X(\omega)$ (as a real sequence) for all $\omega \in \Omega$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, except perhaps when ω is in a set having probability zero under the probability distribution of X.

THEOREM (STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS)
Suppose that $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with expectation μ and (finite) variance σ^2. Let Y_n be defined by

$$Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$

then, for all $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P\left[\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} |Y_n - \mu| < \epsilon \right] = 1,$$

that is, $Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$, and thus the mean of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ converges almost surely to μ.

4. CONVERGENCE IN rth MEAN

DEFINITION: (CONVERGENCE IN rth MEAN)
The sequence of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ converges in rth mean to random variable X, denoted

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$$

if

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} E\left[|X_n - X|^r \right] = 0.$$

For example, if

$$\lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} E\left[(X_n - X)^2 \right] = 0$$

then we write

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r=2} X.$$

In this case, we say that $\{X_n\}$ converges to X *in mean-square* or *in quadratic mean*.

THEOREM
For $r_1 > r_2 \geq 1$,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r=r_1} X \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad X_n \xrightarrow{r=r_2} X$$

Proof. By Lyapunov's inequality

$$E[\, |X_n - X|^{r_2} \,]^{1/r_2} \leq E[\, |X_n - X|^{r_1} \,]^{1/r_1}$$

so that

$$E[\, |X_n - X|^{r_2} \,] \leq E[\, |X_n - X|^{r_1} \,]^{r_2/r_1} \longrightarrow 0$$

as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, as $r_2 < r_1$. Thus

$$E[\, |X_n - X|^{r_2} \,] \longrightarrow 0$$

and $X_n \xrightarrow{r=r_2} X$. ∎

Note : The converse does not hold in general.

5. RELATING THE MODES OF CONVERGENCE

THEOREM
For sequence of random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, following relationships hold

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X & & \\ & \searrow & \\ & & X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \Longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \\ & \nearrow & \\ X_n \xrightarrow{r} X & & \end{array}$$

so almost sure convergence and convergence in rth mean for some r both imply convergence in probability, which in turn implies convergence in distribution to random variable X.

No other relationships hold in general.

Proof. (a) $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \Longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$P[\ |X_n - X| < \epsilon\ ] \geq P[\ |X_m - X| < \epsilon,\ \forall m \geq n\ ] \tag{1}$$

as, considering the original sample space,

$$\{\omega : |X_m(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \epsilon,\ \forall m \geq n\} \subseteq \{\omega : |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \epsilon\}$$

But, as $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$, $P[\ |X_m - X| < \epsilon,\ \forall m \geq n\ ] \longrightarrow 1$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. So, after taking limits in equation (1), we have

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty} P[\ |X_n - X| < \epsilon\ ] \geq \lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty} P[\ |X_m - X| < \epsilon,\ \forall m \geq n\ ] = 1$$

and so

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty} P[\ |X_n - X| < \epsilon\ ] = 1 \qquad \therefore \qquad X_n \xrightarrow{p} X.$$

(b) $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \Longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then, using an argument similar to Chebychev's Lemma,

$$E[\ |X_n - X|^r\ ] \geq E[\ |X_n - X|^r I_{\{|X_n - X| > \epsilon\}}\ ] \geq \epsilon^r P[|X_n - X| > \epsilon].$$

Taking limits as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, as $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$, $E[\ |X_n - X|^r\ ] \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, so therefore, also, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$

$$P[|X_n - X| > \epsilon] \longrightarrow 0 \qquad \therefore \qquad X_n \xrightarrow{p} X.$$

(c) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \Longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. Suppose $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Denote, in the usual way,

$$F_{X_n}(x) = P[X_n \leq x] \qquad \text{and} \qquad F_X(x) = P[X \leq x].$$

Then, by the theorem of total probability, we have two inequalities

$$F_{X_n}(x) = P[\ X_n \leq x\ ] = P[\ X_n \leq x, X \leq x + \epsilon\ ] + P[\ X_n \leq x, X > x + \epsilon\ ] \leq F_X(x + \epsilon) + P[\ |X_n - X| > \epsilon]$$

$$F_X(x - \epsilon) = P[\ X \leq x - \epsilon\ ] = P[\ X \leq x - \epsilon, X_n \leq x\ ] + P[\ X \leq x - \epsilon, X_n > x\ ] \leq F_{X_n}(x) + P[\ |X_n - X| > \epsilon].$$

as $A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow P(A) \leq P(B)$ yields

$$P[\ X_n \leq x, X \leq x + \epsilon\ ] \leq F_X(x + \epsilon) \qquad \text{and} \qquad P[\ X \leq x - \epsilon, X_n \leq x\ ] \leq F_{X_n}(x).$$

Thus

$$F_X(x-\epsilon) - P[\,|X_n - X| > \epsilon] \le F_{X_n}(x) \le F_X(x+\epsilon) + P[\,|X_n - X| > \epsilon]$$

and taking limits as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ (with care; we cannot yet write

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty} F_{X_n}(x)$$

as we do not know that this limit exists) recalling that $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$,

$$F_X(x-\epsilon) \le \liminf_{n\longrightarrow\infty} F_{X_n}(x) \le \limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty} F_{X_n}(x) \le F_X(x+\epsilon)$$

Then if F_X is continuous at x, $F_X(x-\epsilon) \longrightarrow F_X(x)$ and $F_X(x+\epsilon) \longrightarrow F_X(x)$ as $\epsilon \longrightarrow 0$, and hence

$$F_X(x) \le \liminf_{n\longrightarrow\infty} F_{X_n}(x) \le \limsup_{n\longrightarrow\infty} F_{X_n}(x) \le F_X(x)$$

and thus $F_{X_n}(x) \longrightarrow F_X(x)$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

∎

THEOREM (Partial Converses)

(i) If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[\,|X_n - X| > \epsilon\,] < \infty$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$, then $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$.

(ii) If, for some positive integer r,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[\,|X_n - X|^r\,] < \infty$$

then $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$.

Proof. (i) Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then for $n \ge 1$,

$$P[\,|X_n - X| > \epsilon, \text{ for some } m \ge n\,] \equiv P\left[\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \{|X_m - X| > \epsilon\}\right] \le \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} P[\,|X_m - X| > \epsilon\,]$$

as, by elementary probability theory, $P(A \cup B) \le P(A) + P(B)$. But, as it is the tail sum of a convergent series (by assumption), it follows that

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} P[\,|X_m - X| > \epsilon\,] = 0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty} P[\,|X_n - X| > \epsilon, \text{ for some } m \ge n\,] = 0$$

and $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$.

(ii) Identical to part (i), and using part (b) of the previous theorem that $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \Longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$.

∎