

MATH 556: MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS I

FAMILIES OF DISTRIBUTIONS: RESULTS AND EXAMPLES

1. **Parametric Family:** A *parametric family*, \mathcal{P} , of distributions is a collection of probability distributions indexed by an m -dimensional parameter, θ , $\mathcal{P} \equiv \{P_X(\cdot; \theta) : \theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m\}$, which may be written using the cdfs $F_X(\cdot; \theta)$ for $\theta \in \Theta$. The family is *identifiable* if, for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$

$$F_X(x; \theta_1) = F_X(x; \theta_2) \quad \text{for all } x \quad \iff \quad \theta_1 = \theta_2.$$

- (a) Suppose $X \sim F_X(x; \theta_0)$ for $\theta_0 \in \Theta$. Suppose $\theta_1 \in \Theta$ and consider the *likelihood ratio*

$$R(X; \theta_0, \theta_1) = \frac{f_X(X; \theta_1)}{f_X(X; \theta_0)} = \frac{dF_X(X; \theta_1)}{dF_X(X; \theta_0)}$$

say. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_X[R(X; \theta_0, \theta_1)] = \int \frac{f_X(x; \theta_1)}{f_X(x; \theta_0)} dF_X(x; \theta_0) = \int \frac{dF_X(x; \theta_1)}{dF_X(x; \theta_0)} dF_X(x; \theta_0) = \int dF_X(x; \theta_1) = 1.$$

- (b) **Score function:** Suppose that the pmf/pdf $f_X(x; \theta)$ is differentiable with respect to θ . The *score function*, $\mathbf{S}(x; \theta)$, is a $m \times 1$ vector with j th element equal to

$$S_j(x; \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log f_X(x; \theta).$$

The quantity $\mathbf{S}(X; \theta) = (S_1(X; \theta), \dots, S_m(X; \theta))^T$ is an m -dimensional *random variable*. Under certain regularity conditions

$$\mathbb{E}_X[\mathbf{S}(X; \theta)] = \mathbf{0} \quad (m \times 1).$$

Proof: Note first that by rule for differentiating a ‘function of a function’ we have that

$$\frac{\partial \log f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{1}{f_X(x; \theta)} \quad (m \times 1) \quad (1)$$

Then, provided the differentiation wrt θ and the integration wrt x can be exchanged,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbf{S}(X; \theta)] &= \int \mathbf{S}(x; \theta) f_X(x; \theta) dx = \int \left\{ \frac{\partial \log f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right\} f_X(x; \theta) dx \\ &= \int \frac{\partial f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left\{ \int f_X(x; \theta) dx \right\} = \mathbf{0} \quad (m \times 1) \end{aligned}$$

- (c) **Fisher Information:** The *Fisher Information*, $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$, is an $m \times m$ matrix function of θ defined as the variance-covariance matrix of the score random variable \mathbf{S} , that is

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = \text{Var}_X[\mathbf{S}(X; \theta)] = \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbf{S}(X; \theta)\mathbf{S}(X; \theta)^T] = [\mathbb{E}_X[S_j(X; \theta)S_k(X; \theta)]]_{jk}$$

Under certain regularity conditions, if the pmf/pdf is twice partially differentiable with respect to the elements of θ , then if where $\Psi(X; \theta)$ is the $m \times m$ matrix of second partial derivatives with (j, k) th element

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}_X[\Psi(X; \theta)] = - \left[\mathbb{E}_X \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_k} \log f_X(X; \theta) \right] \right]_{jk}$$

Proof: From (b), under regularity conditions

$$\int \left\{ \frac{\partial \log f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right\} f_X(x; \theta) dx = \mathbf{0} \quad (m \times 1)$$

Differentiating again wrt θ^\top (i.e. differentiate wrt θ and take the transpose), we have

$$\int \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 \log f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^\top} f_X(x; \theta) + \frac{\partial \log f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^\top} \right\} dx = \mathbf{0} \quad (m \times m)$$

that is, we have the equality of the two $(m \times m)$ matrices

$$-\int \frac{\partial^2 \log f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^\top} f_X(x; \theta) dx = \int \frac{\partial \log f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^\top} dx. \quad (2)$$

The left-hand side of (2) is $-\mathbb{E}_X[\Psi(X; \theta)]$. For the right-hand side of (2), using (1), we have

$$\int \frac{\partial \log f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial f_X(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^\top} f_X(x; \theta) dx = \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbf{S}(X; \theta)\mathbf{S}(X; \theta)^\top]$$

and we can conclude that

$$-\mathbb{E}_X[\Psi(X; \theta)] = \mathbb{E}_X[\mathbf{S}(X; \theta)\mathbf{S}(X; \theta)^\top].$$

Example : *Binomial*(n, θ): $f_X(x; \theta) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x}$ for $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, so that

$$S(x; \theta) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{x}{\theta} - \frac{n-x}{1-\theta} = \frac{x-n\theta}{\theta(1-\theta)}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_X[S(X; \theta)] = \mathbb{E}_X \left[\frac{X-n\theta}{\theta(1-\theta)} \right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}_X[X] - n\theta}{\theta(1-\theta)} = 0$$

as $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$ yields $\mathbb{E}_X[X] = n\theta$. For the second derivative

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f_X(x; \theta) = -\frac{x}{\theta^2} - \frac{n-x}{(1-\theta)^2}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}_X \left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \log f_X(X; \theta) \right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}_X[X]}{\theta^2} + \frac{n - \mathbb{E}_X[X]}{(1-\theta)^2}$$

and as $\mathbb{E}_X[X] = n\theta$, we have

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = \frac{n\theta}{\theta^2} + \frac{n-n\theta}{(1-\theta)^2} = \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

Example : *Poisson*(λ): $f_X(x; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$, for $x \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$, so that

$$S(x; \lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log f_X(x; \lambda) = \frac{x}{\lambda} - 1$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_X[S(X; \lambda)] = \mathbb{E}_X \left[\frac{X}{\lambda} - 1 \right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}_X[X]}{\lambda} - 1 = 0$$

as $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ yields $\mathbb{E}_X[X] = \lambda$. For the second derivative

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \log f_X(x; \lambda) = -\frac{x}{\lambda^2}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{I}(\lambda) = -\mathbb{E}_X \left[\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \log f_X(X; \lambda) \right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}_X[X]}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

2. **Location-Scale Family:** Suppose that $f_0(x)$ is a pdf. If μ and $\sigma > 0$ are constants then

$$f_X(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} f_0((x - \mu)/\sigma)$$

is also a pdf, and a member of a *location-scale family* based on f_0 .

- if $\sigma = 1$ we have a *location family*: $f_X(x; \mu) = f_0(x - \mu)$
- if $\mu = 0$ we have a *scale family*: $f_X(x; \sigma) = f_0(x/\sigma)/\sigma$

Example : Normal distribution family

$$f_0(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} x^2 \right\}$$

$$f_X(x; \mu, \sigma) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2 \right\}$$

Example : Exponential distribution family

$$f_0(x) = e^{-x} \quad x > 0$$

$$f_X(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)/\sigma} \quad x > \mu$$

Note that X is a random variable with pdf $f_X(x) = f_X(x; \mu, \sigma)$ (the location-scale family member) **if and only if** there exists another random variable Z with $f_Z(z) = f_0(z)$ (the standard member) such that $X = \sigma Z + \mu$ that is, if X is a linear transformation of a standard random variable Z .

3. **Exponential Families:** A family of pdfs/pmfs is an *Exponential Family* if it can be expressed

$$f_X(x; \theta) = h(x) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m c_j(\theta) T_j(x) - A(\theta) \right\} = h(x) \exp \left\{ c(\theta)^\top \mathbf{T}(x) - A(\theta) \right\}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\theta \in \Theta$ is a l -dimensional parameter vector (initially we take $l = m$).

- $h(x) \geq 0$ is a function that does not depend on θ
- $A(\theta)$ is a function that does not depend on x
- $\mathbf{T}(x) = (T_1(x), \dots, T_m(x))^\top$ is a vector of real-valued functions that do not depend on θ .
- $c(\theta) = (c_1(\theta), \dots, c_m(\theta))^\top$ is a vector of real-valued functions that do not depend on x .
- The support of $f_X(x; \theta)$ **does not** depend on θ .
- The family is termed *natural* if $m = 1$ and $T_1(x) = x$.

Example : *Binomial*(n, θ) for $0 < \theta < 1$

For $x \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \equiv \mathcal{X}$,

$$f(x; \theta) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{n-x} = \binom{n}{x} (1 - \theta)^n \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right)^x = \binom{n}{x} \exp \left\{ \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right) x - n \log(1 - \theta) \right\}$$

- $m = 1$
- $h(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) \binom{n}{x}$.
- $A(\theta) = n \log(1 - \theta)$
- $T_1(x) = x$
- $c_1(\theta) = \log(\theta/(1 - \theta)) = \log \theta - \log(1 - \theta)$

Example : *Normal*(μ, σ^2)

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f_X(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2 \right\} = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu x}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$$

- $m = 2, \theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)^\top$
- $h(x) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$
- $A(\theta) = A(\mu, \sigma^2) = (\log \sigma^2 + \mu^2/\sigma^2)/2$
- $T_1(x) = -x^2/2, T_2(x) = x$
- $c_1(\theta) = 1/\sigma^2, c_2(\theta) = \mu/\sigma^2$

Example : Suppose, for $\theta > 0$

$$f_X(x; \theta) = \mathbb{1}_{(\theta, \infty)} \frac{1}{\theta} \exp \left\{ 1 - \frac{x}{\theta} \right\}$$

As the support of $f_X(x; \theta)$ depends on θ so this is **not** an Exponential Family distribution.

- (a) **Parameterization:** We can reparameterize from θ to $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_m)^\top$ by setting $\eta_j = c_j(\theta)$ for each j , and write

$$f_X(x; \eta) = h(x) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j T_j(x) - K(\eta) \right\} = h(x) \exp \left\{ \eta^\top \mathbf{T}(x) - K(\eta) \right\}.$$

η is termed the *natural* or *canonical* parameter and $K(\eta) = A(c^{-1}(\eta))$.

- (b) **Parameter space:** Let \mathcal{H} be the region of \mathbb{R}^m defined by

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \left\{ \eta : \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \exp \left\{ \eta^\top \mathbf{T}(x) \right\} dx < \infty \right\}$$

\mathcal{H} is termed the *natural parameter space*. For $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$, we must have

$$\exp\{K(\eta)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \exp \left\{ \eta^\top \mathbf{T}(x) \right\} dx$$

It can be shown that \mathcal{H} is a *convex* set, that is, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{H} \implies \lambda\eta_1 + (1 - \lambda)\eta_2 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{H}_\Theta = \left\{ c(\theta) = (c_1(\theta), \dots, c_m(\theta))^\top : \theta \in \Theta \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}.$$

\mathcal{H}_Θ can be considered the *natural parameter space induced by Θ*

Example : *Binomial*(n, θ)

$$\eta = \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta} \right) \iff \theta = \frac{e^\eta}{1 + e^\eta}$$

so that

$$f_X(x; \eta) = \left\{ \binom{n}{x} \mathbb{1}_{\{0,1,\dots,n\}}(x) \right\} \exp\{\eta x - n \log(1 + e^\eta)\}.$$

Natural parameter space:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \exp \left\{ \eta^\top \mathbf{T}(x) \right\} dx = \sum_{x=0}^n \binom{n}{x} \exp \{ \eta x \} < \infty \quad \forall \eta \quad \therefore \quad \mathcal{H} \equiv \mathbb{R}.$$

Example : *Normal*(μ, σ^2)

$$\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)^\top = (1/\sigma^2, \mu/\sigma^2)^\top$$

so that

$$f_X(x; \eta) = \left(\frac{\eta_1}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\eta_2^2}{2\eta_1} \right\} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\eta_1 x^2}{2} + \eta_2 x \right\}$$

Natural parameter space: this density will be integrable with respect to x if and only if $\eta_1 > 0$, so $\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$.

(c) **Regular Exponential Family:** The family is termed *regular* if

(i) $\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}_\Theta$.

(ii) In the natural parameterization, neither the η_j nor the $T_j(x)$ satisfy linearity constraints.

(iii) \mathcal{H} is an *open* set in \mathbb{R}^m .

If only (i) and (ii) hold, the exponential family is termed *full*. The family is termed *curved* if $\dim(\theta) = l < m$

(d) **Moments for the Exponential Family:** If

$$f_X(x; \theta) = h(x) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m c_j(\theta) T_j(x) - A(\theta) \right\}$$

then, for $l = 1, \dots, m$,

$$S_l(x; \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_l} \log f_X(x; \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial c_j(\theta)}{\partial \theta_l} T_j(x) - \frac{\partial A(\theta)}{\partial \theta_l} = \sum_{j=1}^m \dot{c}_{jl}(\theta) T_j(x) - \dot{A}_l(\theta)$$

say. But, for each l , $\mathbb{E}_X[S_l(X; \theta)] = 0$, so therefore, for $l = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\mathbb{E}_X \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \dot{c}_{jl}(\theta) T_j(X) \right] = \dot{A}_l(\theta).$$

By a similar calculation

$$\text{Var}_X \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \dot{c}_{jl}(\theta) T_j(X) \right] = \ddot{A}_{ll}(\theta) - \mathbb{E}_X \left[\sum_{j=1}^m \ddot{c}_{jll}(\theta) T_j(X) \right]$$

where

$$\ddot{A}_{ll}(\theta) = \frac{\partial^2 A(\theta)}{\partial \theta_l^2} \quad \ddot{c}_{jll}(\theta) = \frac{\partial^2 c_j(\theta)}{\partial \theta_l^2}$$

Note that in the natural (canonical) parameterization

$$\log f_X(x; \eta) = \log h(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j T_j(x) - K(\eta)$$

so that, using the arguments above for $l = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\mathbb{E}_X [T_l(X)] = \dot{K}_l(\theta) \quad \text{Var}_X [T_l(X)] = \ddot{K}_{ll}(\theta)$$

(e) **Independent random variables from the Exponential Family**

Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and identically distributed rvs, with pmf or pdf $f_X(x; \theta)$ in the Exponential Family. Then the joint pmf/pdf for $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$ is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n h(x_i) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m c_j(\theta) T_j(x_i) - A(\theta) \right\} = H(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m c_j(\theta) T_j(\mathbf{x}) - nA(\theta) \right\}$$

where

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n h(x_i) \quad T_j(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_j(x_i).$$

The random variables $T_j(\mathbf{x})$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ are termed *sufficient statistics*.

(f) **Alternative construction of the Exponential Family** Suppose that $f_0(x)$ is a pmf/pdf with corresponding mgf $M_0(t)$ (presumed to exist in a neighbourhood of zero), so that

$$M_0(t) = \int e^{tx} f_0(x) dx = \exp\{K_0(t)\}$$

and $K_0(t) = \log M_0(t)$ is the *cumulant generating function*. If $f_0(x) = \exp\{g_0(x)\}$, we have

$$\exp\{K_0(t)\} = M_0(t) = \int e^{tx} e^{g_0(x)} dx = \int e^{tx+g_0(x)} dx.$$

Thus, for all t for which $M_0(t)$ exists,

$$f_X(x; t) = \exp\{tx + g_0(x) - K_0(t)\} = f_0(x) \exp\{tx - K_0(t)\}$$

is a valid pdf. If we set $t = \eta$, $h(x) = f_0(x) = \exp\{g_0(x)\}$ then

$$f_X(x; \eta) = h(x) \exp\{\eta x - K_0(\eta)\}$$

and we see that $f_X(x; \eta)$ is an exponential family member with natural parameter η . The pmf/pdf $f_X(x; t)$ is termed the *exponential tilting* of $f_0(x)$, with expectation and variance $\dot{K}_0(\eta)$ and $\ddot{K}_0(\eta)$ respectively. Note further that for t small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} M_X(t) &= \int e^{tx} h(x) \exp\{\eta x - K_0(\eta)\} dx = \exp\{-K_0(\eta)\} \int h(x) \exp\{(\eta + t)x\} dx \\ &= \exp\{K_0(\eta + t) - K_0(\eta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(g) **The Exponential Dispersion Model:** Consider the model

$$f(x; \theta, \phi) = \exp \left\{ d(x, \phi) + \frac{1}{r(\phi)} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j(\theta) T_j(x) - \frac{A(\theta)}{r(\phi)} \right\}$$

where $r(\phi) > 0$ is a function of *dispersion* parameter $\phi > 0$.

In this model, using the previous results, we see that the expectation is unchanged compared to the Exponential Family model by the presence of the term $r(\phi)$, but the variance is modified by a factor of $1/r(\phi)$. Thus the exponential dispersion model allows separate modelling of mean and variance.

Example : *Binomial*(n, θ)

$$f_X(x; \theta) = \binom{n}{x} \mathbb{1}_{\{0,1,\dots,n\}}(x) \exp \left\{ \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \right) x - n \log(1-\theta) \right\}.$$

Let $Y = X/n$, so that

$$f_Y(y; \theta, \phi) = \binom{1/\phi}{y/\phi} \mathbb{1}_{\{0,\phi,2\phi,\dots,1\}}(y/\phi) \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{\phi} \left[y \log \left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \right) - \log(1-\theta) \right] \right\}$$

where $\phi = 1/n$. Note that $\mathbb{E}_Y[Y] = \theta = \mu$ say, and

$$\text{Var}_Y[Y] = \phi\theta(1-\theta) = \phi V(\mu)$$

where $V(\mu) = \mu(1-\mu)$ is the *variance function*.

4. **Convolution Families:** The *convolution* of functions g and h , written $g \circ h$, is defined by

$$g \circ h(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)h(y-x) dx.$$

Now if X_1 and X_2 are independent random variables with marginal pdfs f_{X_1} and f_{X_2} respectively, then the random variable $Y = X_1 + X_2$ has a pdf that can be determined using the multi-variate transformation result. If we use dummy variable $Z = X_1$, then

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} Z = X_1 \\ Y = X_1 + X_2 \end{array} \right\} \iff \left\{ \begin{array}{l} X_1 = Z \\ X_2 = Y - Z \end{array} \right.$$

which is a transformation with Jacobian 1. Thus

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{Z,Y}(z, y) dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X_1, X_2}(z, y-z) dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X_1}(x) f_{X_2}(y-x) dx$$

so we can see that the pdf of Y is computed as the convolution of f_{X_1} and f_{X_2} .

A family of distributions, \mathcal{F} , is *closed under convolution* if

$$f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F} \implies f_1 \circ f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$$

For independent random variables X_1 and X_2 with pdfs f_1 and f_2 in a family \mathcal{F} , closure under convolution implies that the random variable $Y = X_1 + X_2$ also has a pdf in \mathcal{F} .

This concept is related to the idea of *infinite divisibility*, *decomposibility*, and *self decomposibility*.

- **Infinite Divisibility** : A probability distribution for rv X is *infinitely divisible* if, for all positive integers n , there exists a sequence of independent and identically distributed rvs Z_{n1}, \dots, Z_{nn} such that

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} Z_n = \sum_{j=1}^n Z_{nj}$$

that is, the characteristic function (cf) of X can be written

$$\varphi_X(t) = \{\varphi_Z(t)\}^n$$

for some other cf φ_Z .

- **Decomposability** : A probability distribution for rv X is *decomposable* if

$$\varphi_X(t) = \varphi_{X_1}(t)\varphi_{X_2}(t)$$

for two cfs φ_{X_1} and φ_{X_2} so that

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} X_1 + X_2$$

where X_1 and X_2 are independent rvs with cfs φ_{X_1} and φ_{X_2} .

- **Self-Decomposability** : A probability distribution for rv X is *self-decomposable* if for all c , $0 < c < 1$,

$$\varphi_X(t) = \varphi_X(ct)\varphi_{X_1}(t)$$

for cf φ_{X_1} so that

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} cX + X_1$$

where X and X_1 are independent rvs with cf φ_X and φ_{X_1} respectively.

5. **Hierarchical Models**: A *hierarchical model* is a model constructed by considering a series of distributions at different levels of a “hierarchy” that together, after marginalization, combine to yield the distribution of the observable quantities.

Example : A three-level model

LEVEL 3 :	$\lambda > 0$	Fixed parameter
LEVEL 2 :	$N \sim Poisson(\lambda)$	
LEVEL 1 :	$X N = n, \theta \sim Binomial(n, \theta)$	

Then the marginal pmf for X is given by

$$f_X(x; \theta, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{X|N}(x|n; \theta, \lambda) f_N(n; \lambda).$$

By elementary calculation, we see that $X \sim Poisson(\lambda\theta)$

$$f_X(x; \theta, \lambda) = \frac{(\lambda\theta)^x e^{-\lambda\theta}}{x!} \quad x = 0, 1, \dots$$

Example : A three-level model

LEVEL 3 :	$\alpha, \beta > 0$	Fixed parameters
LEVEL 2 :	$Y \sim Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$	
LEVEL 1 :	$X Y = y \sim Poisson(y)$	

Then the marginal pdf for X is given by

$$f_X(x; \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^\infty f_{X|Y}(x|y) f_Y(y; \alpha, \beta) dy.$$

A general K -level hierarchical model can be specified in terms of K vector random variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LEVEL } K & : \mathbf{X}_K = (X_{K1}, \dots, X_{Kn_K})^\top \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ \text{LEVEL } 1 & : \mathbf{X}_1 = (X_{11}, \dots, X_{1n_1})^\top \end{aligned}$$

The hierarchical model specifies the joint distribution as

$$f_{\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_K}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_K) = f_{\mathbf{X}_K}(\mathbf{x}_K) \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} f_{\mathbf{X}_k | \mathbf{X}_{k+1}}(\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{x}_{k+1})$$

where

$$f_{\mathbf{X}_k | \mathbf{X}_{k+1}}(\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{x}_{k+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n_k} f_k(x_{kj} | \mathbf{x}_{k+1})$$

that is, at level k in the hierarchy, the random variables are taken to be *conditionally independent* given the values of variables at level $k + 1$. The uppermost level, Level K , can be taken to be a degenerate model, with mass function equal to 1 at a set of fixed values.

Example : A three-level model

Consider the *three-level* hierarchical model:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LEVEL } 3 & : \theta, \tau^2 > 0 \quad \text{Fixed parameters} \\ \text{LEVEL } 2 & : M_1, \dots, M_L \sim \text{Normal}(\theta, \tau^2) \quad \text{Independent} \\ \text{LEVEL } 1 & : \text{For } l = 1, \dots, L : X_{l1}, \dots, X_{ln_l} | M_l = m_l \sim \text{Normal}(m_l, 1) \\ & \quad \text{where all the } X_{lj} \text{ are conditionally independent given } M_1, \dots, M_L \end{aligned}$$

For random variables X, Y and Z , we write $X \perp Y | Z$ if X and Y are conditionally independent given Z , so that in the above model $X_{l_1 j_1} \perp X_{l_2 j_2} | M_1, \dots, M_L$ for all l_1, j_1, l_2, j_2 .

(i) Finite Mixture Models

$$\text{LEVEL } 3 : \quad L \geq 1 \text{ (integer), } \pi_1, \dots, \pi_L \text{ with } 0 \leq \pi_l \leq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{l=1}^L \pi_l = 1, \text{ and } \theta_1, \dots, \theta_L$$

$$\text{LEVEL } 2 : \quad X \sim f_X(x; \pi, L) \text{ with } \mathcal{X} \equiv \{1, 2, \dots, L\} \text{ such that } P_X[X = l] = \pi_l$$

$$\text{LEVEL } 1 : \quad Y | X = l \sim f_l(y; \theta_l)$$

where f_l is some pmf or pdf with parameters θ_l . Then

$$f_Y(y; \pi, \theta, L) = \sum_{l=1}^L f_{Y|X}(y|x; \theta_l) f_X(x; \pi_l) = \sum_{l=1}^L f_l(y; \theta_l) \pi_l$$

This is a *finite mixture distribution*: the observed Y are drawn from L distinct sub-populations characterized by pmf/pdf f_1, \dots, f_L and parameters $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_L$, with sub-population proportions π_1, \dots, π_L .

(ii) **Random Sums**

LEVEL 3 : θ, ϕ (fixed parameters)

LEVEL 2 : $X \sim f_X(x; \phi)$ with $\mathcal{X} \equiv \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

LEVEL 1 : $Y_1, \dots, Y_n | X = x \sim f_Y(y; \theta)$ (independent), and $S = \sum_{i=1}^x Y_i$

Then, by the law of iterated expectation,

$$\begin{aligned} M_S(t) &= \mathbb{E}_S [e^{tS}] = \mathbb{E}_X [\mathbb{E}_{S|X} [e^{tS} | X]] = \mathbb{E}_X \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Y}|X} \left[\exp \left\{ t \sum_{i=1}^X Y_i \right\} \middle| X \right] \right] = \mathbb{E}_X [\{M_Y(t)\}^X] \\ &= G_X(M_Y(t)) \end{aligned}$$

where G_X is the *factorial mgf* (or *pgf*) for X defined in a neighbourhood $(1 - h, 1 + h)$ of 1 for some $h > 0$ as

$$G_X(t) = M_X(\log t) = \mathbb{E}_X [t^X] \quad t \in (1 - h, 1 + h).$$

By a similar calculation,

$$G_S(t) = G_X(G_Y(t)).$$

For example, if $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\phi)$, then

$$G_S(t) = \exp \{ \phi(G_Y(t) - 1) \}$$

is the pgf of S . Expanding the pgf as a power series in t yields the pmf of S .

(iii) **Location-Scale Mixtures**

LEVEL 3 : θ

Fixed parameters

LEVEL 2 : $M, V \sim f_{M,V}(m, v; \theta)$

LEVEL 1 : $Y | M = m, V = v \sim f_{Y|M,V}(y|m, v)$

where

$$f_{Y|M,V}(y|m, v) = \frac{1}{v} f \left(\frac{y - m}{v} \right)$$

that is a location-scale family distribution, mixed over different location and scale parameters with *mixing distribution* $f_{M,V}$.

Example : Scale Mixtures of Normal Distributions

LEVEL 3 : θ

LEVEL 2 : $V \sim f_V(v; \theta)$

LEVEL 1 : $Y | V = v \sim f_{Y|V}(y|v) \equiv \text{Normal}(0, g(v))$

for some positive function g . For example, if

$$Y | V = v \sim \text{Normal}(0, v^{-1}) \quad V \sim \text{Gamma}(1/2, 1/2)$$

then by elementary calculations, we find that

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{1 + y^2} \quad y \in \mathbb{R} \quad \therefore \quad Y \sim \text{Cauchy}.$$

The scale mixture of normal distributions family includes the *Student*, *Double Exponential* and *Logistic* as special cases.

Moments of location-scale mixtures can be computed using the law of iterated expectation. The location-scale mixture construction allows the modelling of

- *skewness* through the mixture over different *locations*
- *kurtosis* through the mixture over different *scales*

Example : Location-Scale Mixtures of Normal Distributions

Suppose M and V are independent, with

$$M \sim Exponential(1/2) \quad V \sim Gamma(2, 1/2)$$

and

$$Y|M = m, V = v \sim Normal(m, 1/v)$$

Then the marginal distribution of Y is given by

$$f_Y(y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f_{Y|M,V}(y|m, v) f_M(m) f_V(v) dm dv$$

which can most readily be examined by simulation. The figure below depicts a histogram of 10000 values simulated from the model, and demonstrates the skewness of the marginal of Y .

