

## MATH 556 - EXERCISES 7: SOLUTIONS

1. (a)  $Y_n = \max \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  so in the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have the limit for *fixed*  $y$  as

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = \{F_X(y)\}^n = y^n \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & y < 1 \\ 1 & y \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

that is, a step function with single step of size 1 at  $y = 1$ . Hence the limiting random variable  $Y$  is a discrete variable with  $P[Y = 1] = 1$ , that is, the limiting distribution is *degenerate* at 1. For  $Z_n = \min \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  so in the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have the limit for *fixed*  $z$  as

$$F_{Z_n}(z) = 1 - \{1 - F_X(z)\}^n = 1 - (1 - z)^n \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & z \leq 0 \\ 1 & z > 0 \end{cases}$$

that is, a step function with single step of size 1 at  $z = 0$ . Hence the limiting random variable  $Z$  is a discrete variable with  $P[Z = 0] = 1$ : the limiting distribution is *degenerate* at 0. Note here that the limiting function is **not** a cdf as it is not right-continuous, but that the limiting distribution does still exist - the ordinary definition of convergence in distribution only refers to pointwise convergence **at points of continuity of the limit function**, and here is limit function is not continuous at zero.

Note that these results are intuitively reasonable as, as the sample size gets increasingly large, we will obtain a random variable arbitrarily close to each end of the range. Note also that these results describe *convergence in distribution*, but also we have for  $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$P[|Y_n - 1| < \varepsilon] = P[1 - Y_n < \varepsilon] = P[1 - \varepsilon < Y_n] = 1 - P[Y_n < 1 - \varepsilon] = 1 - \varepsilon^n \rightarrow 1$$

$$P[|Z_n - 0| < \varepsilon] = P[Z_n < \varepsilon] = 1 - (1 - \varepsilon)^n \rightarrow 1$$

so we also have *convergence in probability* of  $Y_n$  to 1 and of  $Z_n$  to 0.

- (b)  $Y_n = \max \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  so

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = \{F_X(y)\}^n = \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-y}}\right)^n \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$

and so, in the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have the limit for *fixed*  $y$  as  $F_{Y_n}(y) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $y$ . Hence there is *no limiting distribution*.

However if  $U_n = Y_n - \log n$ , we have from first principles that for  $u > -\log n$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{U_n}(u) &= P[U_n \leq u] = P[Y_n - \log n \leq u] \\ &= P[Y_n \leq u + \log n] = F_{Y_n}(u + \log n) = \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{-u - \log n}}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$F_{U_n}(u) = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{e^{-u}}{n}}\right)^n = \left(1 + \frac{e^{-u}}{n}\right)^{-n} \rightarrow \exp\{-e^{-u}\} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

which is a valid cdf. Hence the limiting distribution is

$$F_U(u) = \exp\{-e^{-u}\} \quad u \in \mathbb{R}$$

(c)  $Y_n = \max \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  so

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = \{F_X(y)\}^n = \left(\frac{2y}{1+2y}\right)^n \quad y > 0$$

and so, in the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have the limit for *fixed*  $y$  as

$$F_{Y_n}(y) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for all } y$$

Hence there is *no limiting distribution*.

$Z_n = \min \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  so in the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have the limit for *fixed*  $z > 0$  as

$$F_{Z_n}(z) = 1 - \{1 - F_X(z)\}^n = 1 - \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+2z}\right)\right)^n = 1 - \frac{1}{(1+2z)^n} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & z \leq 0 \\ 1 & z > 0 \end{cases}$$

that is, a step function with single step of size 1 at  $z = 0$ . Hence the limiting random variable  $Z$  is a discrete variable with  $P[Z = 0] = 1$  that is, the limiting distribution is *degenerate* at 0. Again, the limiting function is not a cdf as it not right continuous, but this does not affect our conclusion, as the limit function is not continuous at 0.

If  $U_n = Y_n/n$ , we have from first principles that for  $u > 0$

$$F_{U_n}(u) = P[U_n \leq u] = P[Y_n/n \leq u] = P[Y_n \leq nu] = F_{Y_n}(nu) = \left(\frac{2nu}{1+2nu}\right)^n$$

so that

$$F_{U_n}(u) = \left(\frac{2nu}{1+2nu}\right)^n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2nu}\right)^{-n} \rightarrow \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2u}\right\} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

which is a valid cdf. Hence the limiting distribution is

$$F_U(u) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2u}\right\} \quad u > 0$$

If  $V_n = nZ_n$ , we have from first principles that for  $u > 0$

$$F_{V_n}(v) = P[V_n \leq v] = P[nZ_n \leq v] = P[Z_n \leq v/n] = F_{Z_n}(v/n) = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{2v}{n}}\right)^n$$

so that

$$F_{V_n}(v) = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{2v}{n}\right)^{-n} = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{2v}{n}\right)^{-n} \rightarrow 1 - \exp\{-2v\} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

which is a valid cdf. Hence the limiting distribution is

$$F_V(v) = 1 - \exp\{-2v\} \quad v > 0$$

Hence the limiting random variable  $V \sim \text{Exponential}(2)$ .

$Y_n = \max \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  so

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = \{F_X(y)\}^n = (1 - e^{-2y})^n \quad y > 0$$

2. Key is to find the i.i.d random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  such that

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

and then to use the Central Limit Theorem result for large  $n$

$$Z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu}{\sqrt{n\sigma^2}} \xrightarrow{d} Z \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1) \quad \therefore \quad X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \mathcal{AN}(n\mu, n\sigma^2)$$

where  $\mu = \mathbb{E}_X [X_i]$  and  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}_X [X_i]$

(a)  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta) \implies X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  where  $X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$  so that  $\mu = \mathbb{E}_X [X_i] = \theta$  and  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}_X [X_i] = \theta(1 - \theta)$  and hence

$$Z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\theta}{\sqrt{n\theta(1 - \theta)}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{Normal}(0, 1) \quad \therefore \quad X \sim \mathcal{AN}(n\theta, n\theta(1 - \theta))$$

(b)  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda) \implies X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  where  $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda/n)$  so that  $\mu = \mathbb{E}_X [X_i] = \lambda/n$  and  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}_X [X_i] = \lambda/n$  and hence

$$Z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\frac{\lambda}{n}}{\sqrt{n(\lambda/n)}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{Normal}(0, 1) \quad \therefore \quad X \sim \mathcal{AN}(\lambda, \lambda)$$

Note that this uses the result that the sum of independent Poisson variables also has a Poisson distribution (proved using mgfs), and also note that this is in agreement with the mgf limit result.

(c)  $X \sim \text{NegBinomial}(n, \theta) \implies X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  where  $X_i \sim \text{Geometric}(\theta)$  so that  $\mu = \mathbb{E}_X [X_i] = 1/\theta$  and  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}_{f_X} [X_i] = (1 - \theta) / \theta^2$  and hence

$$Z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\frac{1}{\theta}}{\sqrt{n((1 - \theta) / \theta^2)}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{Normal}(0, 1) \quad \therefore \quad X \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\frac{n}{\theta}, \frac{n(1 - \theta)}{\theta^2}\right)$$

(d)  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta) \implies X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  where  $X_i \sim \text{Gamma}\left(\frac{\alpha}{n}, \beta\right)$  so that  $\mu = \mathbb{E}_X [X_i] = \frac{\alpha}{n\beta}$  and  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}_X [X_i] = \frac{\alpha}{n\beta^2}$  and hence

$$Z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\frac{\alpha}{n\beta}}{\sqrt{n\alpha / (n\beta^2)}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}}{\sqrt{\alpha / \beta^2}} \xrightarrow{d} \text{Normal}(0, 1) \quad \therefore \quad X \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}\right)$$

3.  $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  so  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$  by mgfs and hence by the CLT,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \mathcal{AN}(n\lambda, n\lambda) \quad \therefore \quad \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \mathcal{AN}\left(\lambda, \frac{\lambda}{n}\right)$$

and hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P[|\bar{X} - \lambda| < \varepsilon] = P[\lambda - \varepsilon < \bar{X} < \lambda + \varepsilon] \approx \Phi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{-\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}}\right) \rightarrow 1$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $\bar{X}$  converges in probability to  $\lambda$ ,  $\bar{X} \xrightarrow{p} \lambda$ .

Now, if  $T_n = \exp\{-M_n\}$ , then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$P\left[|T_n - e^{-\lambda}| < \varepsilon\right] = P\left[e^{-\lambda} - \varepsilon < T_n < e^{-\lambda} + \varepsilon\right] = P\left[-\log(e^{-\lambda} + \varepsilon) < M_n < -\log(e^{-\lambda} - \varepsilon)\right]$$

and hence

$$P\left[|T_n - e^{-\lambda}| < \varepsilon\right] \approx \Phi\left(\frac{-\log(e^{-\lambda} - \varepsilon) - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{-\log(e^{-\lambda} + \varepsilon) - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}}\right) \rightarrow 1$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,  $T_n$  converges in probability to  $e^{-\lambda}$ .

4. (a) Clearly if the sequence converges, it converges to 1 or 2, and as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  it is clear that the probability  $P[X_n = 1] \rightarrow 0$ , so we check whether the limit is 2. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_n - 2|^2] = \left(|-1|^2 \times \frac{1}{n}\right) + \left(|0|^2 \times \frac{n-1}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

so  $X_n \xrightarrow{r=2} 2$ ; we can also prove directly that, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P[|X_n - 2| < \varepsilon] = P[X_n = 2] = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

so  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 2$  (although this does follow because of the convergence in  $r = 2$  mean).

(b) Here it seems that  $X_n$  may converge to 1; we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_n - 1|^2] = \left(|n^2 - 1|^2 \times \frac{1}{n}\right) + \left(|0|^2 \times \frac{n-1}{n}\right) = \frac{(n^2 - 1)^2}{n} \not\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

so  $X_n$  does not converge in  $r = 2$  mean to 1; by similar arguments, it can be shown that  $X_n$  does not converge in this mode to any fixed constant. However, we can prove that, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P[|X_n - 1| < \varepsilon] = P[X_n = 1] = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \therefore X_n \xrightarrow{p} 1.$$

(c) Here it seems that  $X_n$  may converge to 0; we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_n - 0|^2] = \left(|n|^2 \times \frac{1}{\log n}\right) + \left(|0|^2 \times 1 - \frac{1}{\log n}\right) = \frac{n^2}{\log n} \not\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

so  $X_n$  does not converge in  $r = 2$  mean to 0; by similar arguments, it can be shown that  $X_n$  does not converge in this mode to any fixed constant. However, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P[|X_n - 0| < \varepsilon] = P[X_n = 0] = 1 - \frac{1}{\log n} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

so  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ .

1.\* (a) Let  $A_n$  be the event  $(X_n \neq 0)$ . Then  $P(A_n) = 1/n$ , and hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty.$$

The events  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are independent, so by the BC Lemma part (II),

$$P(A_n \text{ occurs i.o.}) = 1,$$

so  $X_n$  does not converge a.s. to 0.  $X_n$  only takes values in  $\{0, 1\}$ , and  $P[X_n = 0] > 0$  for any finite  $n$ , so  $X_n$  does not converge to 1 a.s. either. Hence  $X_n$  does not converge a.s. to any real value.

(b) We have

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] = \mathbb{E}[I_{[0, n^{-1})}(U_n)] = P[U_n \leq n^{-1}] = \frac{1}{n}$$

so

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r=1} X_B$$

where  $P[X_B = 0] = 1$ , and we have convergence in  $r^{\text{th}}$  mean to zero for  $r = 1$ .

2.\*  $P[X_n = 0] \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so we check zero as a possible limiting variable. For a.s. convergence,

$$P\left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n| < \epsilon\right] = P\left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n < \epsilon\right] = P[Z < 1] = 1$$

as the sequence of sets defined by  $(0, 1 - n^{-1})$  increases to limit  $(0, 1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so we do have a.s. convergence to zero. However, for convergence in  $r^{\text{th}}$  mean: we have

$$E[|X^r|] = n^r \times P[X = n] + 0 \times P[X = 0] = \frac{n^r}{n}$$

so  $\{X_n\}$  does not converge in  $r^{\text{th}}$  mean to zero for any  $r \geq 1$ .

3.\* Here we use the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, part (b); as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P[X_n = 1] = \infty$$

and the events concerned are independent, then  $P[X_n = 1 \text{ infinitely often}] = 1$ .