Math 726: L-functions and modular forms

Week 3, lecture 8: More on Modular Forms

Instructor: Henri Darmon

Notes written by: Luiz Kazuo Takei

Recall

We are studying modular forms with respect to a congruence group Γ , i.e., a subgroup $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma(N)$ for some integer N.

Recall our notations:

modular forms $M_k(\Gamma)$ \cup cusp forms $S_k(\Gamma)$

More on Modular Forms

CLAIM 1. (to be justified) Cusp forms (of an appropriate type) play the same role for 2dimensional representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as do Dirichlet characters for 1-dimensional representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

From now on, we assume

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma.$$

This implies that, for any $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

We can then define the L-function attached to f by

$$L(f,s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}.$$

QUESTION 1. Where does this sum converge?

In order to answer this question, we will introduce some basic results about Möbius transformations and modular forms. In what follows, we assume $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$.

LEMMA 1. $y\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \frac{y(z)}{(cz+d)(c\overline{z}+d)}$ for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Fall 2011

Proof.

$$y\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \frac{1}{2i}\left[\frac{az+b}{cz+d} - \overline{\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right)}\right] = \frac{1}{2i}\left[\frac{(ad-bc)(z-\overline{z})}{(cz+d)(c\overline{z}+d)}\right] = \frac{y(z)}{(cz+d)(c\overline{z}+d)}.$$

COROLLARY 1. $y^k f(z)\overline{f(z)}$ is invariant under Γ . Hence, so is $y^{k/2}|f(z)|$.

LEMMA 2. There exists a constant C_f (depending on f) such that

 $|f(x+iy)| < C_f y^{-k/2}$

for all $z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Since f is a cusp form, the values of $y^{k/2}|f(z)|$ are uniformly bounded in a neighborhood of all the cusps. Hence, there exists C_f such that

$$|y^{k/2}|f(z)| < C_f.$$

PROPOSITION 1. There exists a constant C'_f such that

$$|a_n| < C'_f n^{k/2}.$$

Proof. Let $z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}$. Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n(x+iy)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{-2\pi ny} e^{2\pi i nx}.$$

By Fourier inversion,

$$a_n e^{-2\pi ny} = \int_0^1 f(x+iy) e^{-2\pi i nx} dx.$$

 So

$$|a_n|e^{-2\pi ny} \le \int_0^1 |f(x+iy)| dx \le C_f y^{-k/2}.$$

And this implies that

$$|a_n| \le C_f y^{-k/2} e^{2\pi n y}.$$

Notice that for each y > 0 we have a bound for $|a_n|$. Choosing $y = \frac{k}{4\pi n}$ will minimize the function on the right hand side and we obtain

$$|a_n| \le C'_f n^{k/2},$$

where $C'_f = C_f k^{-k/2} (4\pi)^{k/2} e^{k/2}$.

COROLLARY 2. L(f, s) converges absolutely for Re(s) > 1 + k/2.

DEFINITION 1. The Mellin transform of f is

$$M(f)(s) = \int_0^\infty f(it)t^s \frac{dt}{t}$$

Proposition 2. $M(f)(s) = (2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(f,s).$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of proposition 1 in lectures 5 and 6. REMARK 1. If $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$, then $M_k(\Gamma) = \{0\}$ when k is odd.

THEOREM 2. Assume $f \in S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$. Then L(f, s) extends to an analytic function on \mathbb{C} and, if

$$\Lambda(f,s) := (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(f,s),$$

then

$$\Lambda(f,s) = (-1)^{k/2} \Lambda(f,k-s).$$

Proof.

$$\Lambda(f,s) = M(f)(s) = \int_0^\infty f(it)t^s \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^1 f(it)t^s \frac{dt}{t} + \int_1^\infty f(it)t^s \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Applying the change of variables $t \leftrightarrow 1/t$ for the first integral gives us

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} f(i/t) t^{-s} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Now, since

$$f(i/t) = f(-1/it) = (it)^k f(it),$$

the first integral becomes

$$i^k \int_1^\infty f(t) t^{k-s} \frac{dt}{t} = (-1)^{k/2} \int_1^\infty f(t) t^{k-s} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Applying the same change of variables for the second integral gives us

$$(-1)^{k/2} \int_0^1 f(t) t^{k-s} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

So that

$$\Lambda(f,s) = (-1)^{k/2} \left(\int_1^\infty f(it) t^s \frac{dt}{t} + \int_0^1 f(it) t^s \frac{dt}{t} \right) = (-1)^{k/2} \Lambda(f,k-s)$$

REMARK 2. If $f \in S_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ then the sign in the functional equation for L(f, s) is

$$\begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ -1, & \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then L(f, k/2) = 0.

QUESTION 2. Where are the zeros of L(f,s) on Re(s) > 1 + k/2?

- QUESTIONS 3. (i) The proof of analytic continuation works well when $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. What about other Γ (e.g. $\Gamma_0(N)$, $\Gamma_1(N)$)?
- (ii) When does L(f, s) admit an Euler product factorization? And if so, what does it look like?

The key tool for these questions is

Hecke operators.