

## Lecture 13 : Hecke Operators and Hecke theory

*Instructor: Henri Darmon**Notes written by: Celine Maistret*

We previously proved the following statements about modular forms and Hecke operators in the context of  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$1. M(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_k M_k(\Gamma) = \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6]$$

which implies in particular that each  $M_k$  is finite dimensional and :

$$M(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_k M_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[E_4, E_6]$$

where  $M_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$  is the space of modular forms with rational Fourier coefficients.

2.  $M_k(\Gamma)$  has extra structures :

- Hermitian inner product
- Hecke Operators  $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$

3.

$$M_k(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})} M_k(\Gamma)^\phi$$

where  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}[T_1, T_2, \dots] \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_k(\Gamma))$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M_k(\Gamma))^\phi = 1$

$$= \bigoplus_{\phi} \mathbb{C}f_\phi$$

where  $f_\phi$  is the normalized eigenform attached to  $\phi$ , completely characterized by :

- $T_n(f_\phi) = \phi(T_n)f_\phi$
- $a_1(f_\phi) = 1$

4. If  $f \in S_k(\Gamma)$ ,  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$ ,  $L(f, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$  then  $L(f, s)$  has a functional equation relating  $s$  and  $k - s$ .

Moreover, if  $f$  is a normalized eigenform then :

$$L(f, s) = \prod_p (1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{k-1-2s})^{-1}$$

In particular,  $L(f, s) \neq 0$  when  $\Re(s) > 1 + k/2$

What about other congruence groups ?

We will discuss the four statements above in the case of  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$  or  $\Gamma_1(N)$

1. We still have  $M(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_k M_k(\Gamma)$  and the  $M_k(\Gamma)$ 's are still finite dimensional but they need not be generated by Eisenstein Series.

In particular, this is still the case that

$$M(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_k M_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$$

and

$$M_k(\Gamma) = M_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$$

One can produce elements of  $M_k(\Gamma)$  using the following tricks :

- Any  $f \in M_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  belongs to  $M_k(\Gamma)$
- If  $f \in M_k(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  then  $f(dz) \in M_k(\Gamma_0(d))$  (Exercise)
- $E_l(d_1, z)E_m(d_2, z) \in M_{l+m}(\Gamma_0(lcm(d_1, d_2)))$
- Hecke translates

In fact, one can prove that if  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$  and  $k$  is large enough, then these basic tricks are enough to generate all of  $M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$

2.  $M_k(\Gamma)$  is still a Hilbert space with Hecke operators but we have to consider different cases :

- If  $n$  is prime to  $N$ ,

$$T_n f(z) = n^{k-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1(N) \backslash M_n(N)} f|_{\gamma}(z)$$

where  $M_n(N)$  are upper triangular unipotent matrices modulo  $N$  of determinant  $n$  and

$$T_n f(z) = n^{k-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N) \backslash M_n(N)} f|_{\gamma}(z)$$

where  $M_n(N)$  are upper triangular matrices modulo  $N$  of determinant  $n$

- If  $l$  is prime and  $l \nmid N$ ,

$$T_l f(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n l q^n + l^{k-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \langle l \rangle f q^{nl}$$

where  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$  and  $\langle l \rangle$  is the Diamond Operator defined below.

These are called **good Hecke Operators**.

- If  $l|N$ , we still define some kind of Hecke Operators :

$$T_l f(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{nl} q^n$$

These are called **bad Hecke Operators**.

- **Diamond Operators**  $\langle a \rangle$  for  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$  :

$$\langle a \rangle f(z) := f|_k \gamma_a(z)$$

where  $\gamma_a \in \Gamma_0(N)$  and  $\gamma_a \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$

REMARK 1.  $T_l$  is not self adjoint in general

$$T_l^* = \langle l \rangle T_l \text{ ( if } l \nmid N \text{ )}$$

REMARK 2. The bad Hecke operators  $T_l$  do not commute with their adjoints.

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C}\langle T_l, \langle a \rangle \rangle$  where  $l \nmid N$  and  $(a, N) = 1$ .

Then  $\mathbb{T} \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_k(\Gamma))$  and  $\mathbb{T}$  is an algebra of commuting operators. We have :

$$M_k(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})} M_k(\Gamma)^{\phi}$$

but  $M_k(\Gamma)^{\phi}$  is not necessary 1-dimensional.

Note that if  $f_1, f_2 \in M_k(\Gamma)^{\phi}$  are both normalized then

$$\phi(T_n) = a_n(f_1) = a_n(f_2) \quad (\forall (n, N) = 1)$$

EXAMPLE 1. Construction of 2 such functions :

Let  $f_1 \in S_k(\Gamma_1(M))$  be normalized, with  $M|N$ ,  $M \neq N$  and define :

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(z) &= f_1(z) + \lambda f_1(dz) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^{nd} \end{aligned}$$

where  $d|\frac{M}{N}$

This example motivates the following definition :

DEFINITION 2. A modular form in  $M_k(\Gamma_1(N))$  which is a linear combination of forms of type

$$g(dz)$$

where  $g \in M_k(\Gamma_1(M))$  with  $M|N$ ,  $M \neq N$  and  $d|\frac{M}{N}$  is called an **old form**.

Considering the space generated by old forms, we have :

DEFINITION 3.  $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{old} = \mathbf{Space\ of\ old\ forms}$

$S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{new} = (S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{old})^\perp = \mathbf{Space\ of\ new\ forms}$

where the orthogonality is relative to the Petersson inner product.

THEOREM 4. (*Atkin-Lehner*)

The space  $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{new}$  decomposes as :

$$S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{new} = \bigoplus_{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Alg}}(\mathbb{T}^{new}, \mathbb{C})} S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^\phi$$

where  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^\phi = 1$  and  $\mathbb{T}^{new} = \mathbb{T}|_{S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{new}}$

DEFINITION 5. A normalized eigenform  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{new}$  is also called a **new form of weight  $k$  and level  $N$**

It remains to discuss  $L(f, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$  in this context.

Can we find a functional equation ?

We note that there is an extra symmetry on  $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$  :

$$\text{Fricke or Atkin - Lehner involution} : \mathbf{w}_N \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

**Fact** :  $\mathbf{w}_N$  normalizes  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and  $\Gamma_1(N)$  :

$$\mathbf{w}_N \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ Nc & d \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{w}_N^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c \\ -Nb & a \end{pmatrix}$$

REMARK 3.  $\mathbf{w}_N$  does not commute in general with the action of  $\mathbb{T}$  :

$$\mathbf{w}_N T_l = \langle l \rangle T_l \mathbf{w}_N \quad (\forall l \nmid N)$$

But if  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$  then  $\mathbf{w}_N$  does commute.

In particular,  $\mathbf{w}_N f = w f$  for  $w \in \{\pm 1\}$

THEOREM 6. Let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$  and  $\mathbf{w}_N f = wf$  with  $w \in \{\pm 1\}$  then :

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(f, s) &:= (2\pi)^{-1} N^{s/2} \Gamma(s) L(f, s) \\ &= (-1)^{k/2} w \Lambda(f, k - s)\end{aligned}$$

*proof:* Assignment 2  $\square$