

Kolyvagin's descent and Mordell-Weil groups over ring class fields¹

Massimo Bertolini²

Henri Darmon³

September 9, 2007

1 Introduction

Let E/\mathbf{Q} be a modular elliptic curve with the modular parametrization:

$$\phi : X_0(N) \rightarrow E,$$

where $X_0(N)$ is the complete curve over \mathbf{Q} which classifies pairs of elliptic curves related by a cyclic N -isogeny. The curve E is equipped with the collection of Heegner points defined over ring class fields of suitable imaginary quadratic fields.

More precisely, let K be an imaginary quadratic field in which all rational primes dividing N are split and let \mathcal{O} be the order of K of conductor c prime to N . There exists a proper \mathcal{O} -ideal \mathcal{N} such that the natural projection of complex tori

$$\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{N}^{-1} \tag{1}$$

is a cyclic N -isogeny. The moduli interpretation of $X_0(N)$ identifies the diagram (1) with a point of $X_0(N)$. By the theory of complex multiplication, this point is defined over H , the ring class field of K of conductor c . Let $\alpha \in E(H)$ be its image under ϕ .

The group $G = \text{Gal}(H/K)$ acts naturally on the \mathbf{Z} -module $E(H)$, and $E(H) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ can be decomposed as a direct sum of eigenspaces under this ac-

¹The authors wish to thank B.H. Gross and K.C. Rubin for having proposed this problem and provided helpful suggestions in the write-up.

²Partially supported by a grant from the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche of Italy.

³Partially supported by a Doctoral Fellowship from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).

tion:

$$E(H) \otimes \mathbf{C} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{G}} E(H)^\chi,$$

where $\hat{G} = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{C}^*)$ is the group of complex characters of G . Let

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi^{-1}(\sigma) \sigma$$

be the idempotent in the group ring giving the projection onto the χ -eigenspace.

Gross and Zagier [3] proved the following limit formula when $c = 1$ (so that H is the Hilbert class field of K):

$$L'(E/K, \chi, 1) = a \hat{h}(e_\chi \alpha),$$

where $L(E/K, \chi, s)$ is the L -series of E/K twisted by the character χ , a is a non-zero invariant depending on E and K , and \hat{h} is the canonical height extended by linearity to $E(H) \otimes \mathbf{C}$. In view of the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, Gross formulated the following:

Conjecture 1.1 *If $e_\chi \alpha \neq 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} E(H)^\chi = 1$.*

In his paper on Euler systems [4], Kolyvagin proves the above conjecture when χ is the trivial character. We will apply Kolyvagin's descent techniques to prove the general case when E has no complex multiplications.

2 Preliminaries

Our strategy will be to do a p -descent for a suitable prime p . We choose p so that

1. $p \nmid 6cN\text{Disc}(K)$,
2. $\mathbf{Q}(E_p)/\mathbf{Q}$ is a $\mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$ -extension,
3. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\#G}$.

These conditions can be imposed simultaneously, provided that E has no complex multiplications, by combining the "open image" theorem of Serre [8] with the result of Dirichlet on primes in arithmetic progressions. The following lemma is a simple consequence of conditions 1 and 2:

Lemma 2.1 *If L is an extension of \mathbf{Q} which is unramified at all primes dividing Np , then $\text{Gal}(L(E_p)/L) \simeq \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$.*

Proof: The extension $\mathbf{Q}(E_p)/\mathbf{Q}$ is ramified only at places dividing Np , and hence $\mathbf{Q}(E_p)$ and L are linearly disjoint over \mathbf{Q} (the intersection of these two fields is an unramified extension of \mathbf{Q} , which is \mathbf{Q} by Minkowski's theorem). Hence $\text{Gal}(L(E_p)/L) = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(E_p)/\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$.

By condition 3, any $\mathbf{F}_p[G]$ -module M splits as a direct sum of primary representations:

$$M = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{G}} M^\chi,$$

where χ ranges over the \mathbf{F}_p -valued characters of G . (By choosing a reduction map

$$\mathbf{Z}[\mu_{\#G}] \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p,$$

we identify complex and \mathbf{F}_p -valued characters of G .) Notice that if $e_\chi \alpha$ is non-zero in $E(H) \otimes \mathbf{C}$, then it is also non zero in $E(H) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p$ for almost all primes p . Furthermore, lemma 2.1 implies that $E_p(H) = 0$ and hence

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}} E(H)^\chi = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} (E(H) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p)^\chi.$$

Conjecture 1.1 is thus reduced to the following “mod p ” analogue:

Theorem 2.2 *If $e_\chi \alpha \neq 0$ in $E(H) \otimes \mathbf{F}_p = E(H)/pE(H)$, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} (E(H)/pE(H))^\chi = 1.$$

Let us introduce some conventions and results that will be used throughout. We fix an algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ of \mathbf{Q} which contains all of the field extensions which will be introduced later on. Let $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ be a fixed complex conjugation corresponding to a choice of an embedding $\bar{\mathbf{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and denote by $[\tau]$ its conjugacy class. It will be convenient to identify τ with its images in finite quotients of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$. If M is a module on which τ acts, the superscripts $+$ and $-$ are used to designate projection onto the eigenspaces for the action of τ (we assume that 2 is invertible in $\text{End}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M)$, so that M decomposes as a direct sum of such eigenspaces):

$$M^\pm = \{m \in M \mid \tau m = \pm m\}.$$

Also, if $x \in M$ and $X \subset M$, we let

$$x^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(x \pm \tau x),$$

$$X^\pm = \{x^\pm \mid x \in X\}.$$

For conciseness, we shall occasionally use the notation M/p to denote the \mathbf{F}_p -vector space $M \otimes \mathbf{F}_p = M/pM$.

Let $H[n] \subset \bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ denote the ring class field of K associated to the order \mathcal{O}_n of conductor cn , where $(n, N) = 1$, let $G_n = \text{Gal}(H[n]/H)$, and let $\alpha(n)$ be the Heegner point corresponding to the N -isogeny

$$\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}/(\mathcal{O}_n \cap \mathcal{N})^{-1}.$$

By class field theory, G_n is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}/n\mathcal{O})^*/(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^*$, and complex conjugation acts on the group $\text{Gal}(H[n]/K)$ by

$$\tau x \tau^{-1} = x^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$ denote the negative of the sign in the functional equation for $L(E/\mathbf{Q}, s)$. The following describes the action of τ on the Heegner points in $E(H[n])/p$:

Lemma 2.3 *There exists $\sigma_0 \in \text{Gal}(H[n]/K)$ such that $\tau\alpha(n) = \epsilon\sigma_0\alpha(n)$ in $E(H[n])/p$. Hence, $\tau e_\chi\alpha(n) = \epsilon\bar{\chi}(\sigma_0)e_{\bar{\chi}}\alpha(n)$.*

Proof: In [2], it is observed that

$$\tau\alpha(n) = \epsilon\sigma_0\alpha(n) + \text{torsion},$$

for some $\sigma_0 \in \text{Gal}(H[n]/K)$. By lemma 2.1, the group $E(H[n])$ has no p -torsion, and the first statement follows. The second is a consequence of the identity:

$$\tau e_\chi = e_{\bar{\chi}}\tau,$$

which results from equation (2).

Kolyvagin's idea is to construct elements in the dual of the Selmer group,

$$\text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H) = \text{Hom}(\text{Sel}_p(E/H), \mathbf{F}_p),$$

via local Tate duality, and to control the size of this module by certain global cohomology classes related to Heegner points. Section 3 is devoted to the construction and study of these "Heegner cohomology classes".

3 The Heegner cohomology classes

Definition 3.1 *A rational prime l is said to be special if $l \nmid Npc$ and*

$$\text{Frob}_l(K(E_p)/\mathbf{Q}) = [\tau].$$

Observe that, if l is special, then

$$a_l \equiv l + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad (3)$$

where a_l denotes the trace of Frobenius acting on the Tate module $T_p(E)$. This follows from comparing the minimal polynomials of Frob_l and τ acting on E_p .

Let n denote a squarefree product of special primes, and let l be a special prime not dividing n . The prime l is inert in K by definition; let $\lambda = (l)$ be the unique prime of K above it. The prime λ splits completely in $H[n]/K$ (its image in $G_n = (\mathcal{O}/n\mathcal{O})^*/(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})^*$ by the Artin map is trivial), and any prime λ' of $H[n]$ above λ is totally ramified in $H[nl]$. Fix a choice of λ' , and denote by λ'' the unique prime of $H[nl]$ above it. The following proposition summarizes the properties of Heegner points that will be needed in the constructions:

Proposition 3.2 *The system of Heegner points $\alpha(n) \in H[n]$ satisfies:*

1. $\text{Tr}_{H[nl]/H[n]}\alpha(nl) = a_l\alpha(n)$;
2. $\alpha(nl) \equiv \text{Frob}_{\lambda'}\alpha(n) \pmod{\lambda''}$.

These two properties are axiomatized by Kolyvagin in his definition of ‘‘Euler systems’’ with congruence [4, §1]. For a proof, see for example [2, prop. 3.7]

Since H_n is the compositum of the extensions H_l which are linearly disjoint over H , we have a canonical isomorphism $G_n = \prod_{l|n} G_l$, allowing us to view G_l as a subgroup of G_n . By class field theory, each G_l is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_k/\lambda)^*/(\mathbf{Z}/l)^*$. Choose for each l a generator σ_l of G_l , and let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_l &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sigma_l^i \in \mathbf{F}_p[G_l] \\ \text{D}_l &= \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} i\sigma_l^i \in \mathbf{F}_p[G_l] \\ \text{D}_n &= \prod_{l|n} \text{D}_l \in \mathbf{F}_p[G_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3 $\text{D}_n\alpha(n) \in (E(H[n])/p)^{G_n}$.

Proof: For all primes l dividing n ,

$$(\sigma_l - 1)\text{D}_l = 1 + l - \text{Tr}_l = -\text{Tr}_l,$$

where the last equality follows from eq. (3). Combining prop. 3.2 with eq. (3), one has:

$$-\mathrm{Tr}_l \alpha(n) = -a_l \alpha(n/l) = 0.$$

Hence $\sigma_l D_n \alpha(n) = D_n \alpha(n)$; since the σ_l generate G_n , the lemma follows.

By lemma 2.1, $E_p(H[n]) = 0$, and the following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow E(H[n]) \xrightarrow{p} E(H[n]) \rightarrow E(H[n])/p \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking G_n -invariants yields the exact sequence of $\mathbf{F}_p[G]$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow E(H)/pE(H) \rightarrow (E(H[n])/p)^{G_n} \rightarrow H^1(G_n, E(H[n]))_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\nu(n)$ be the image of $D_n \alpha(n)$ in $H^1(G_n, E(H[n]))_p$. By abuse of notation, we identify $\nu(n)$ with its image in $H^1(H, E)_p$ under inflation.

Let w be a prime of K lying above the rational prime v . There is a natural localization map

$$\mathrm{res}_w : H \rightarrow \bigoplus_{w'|w} H_{w'}.$$

By abuse of notation, we identify the map res_w with its image by any functor (from the category of étale algebras to the category of \mathbf{F}_p -vector spaces). The context will make it clear what the source and target of res_w are. Denote by $\mathbf{F}_{w'}$ the residue field of $H_{w'}$.

Lemma 3.4 *The behaviour of the class $\nu(n)$ under the localization maps is given by:*

1. *If v does not divide n , then $\mathrm{res}_w \nu(n) = 0$.*
2. *For l special, there is a canonical G -equivariant isomorphism*

$$T : \bigoplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_p(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'}), E_p(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'}))$$

such that, when l divides n , the homomorphism $T(\mathrm{res}_{\lambda'} \nu(n))$ maps each $\mu_p(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'})$ onto the subgroup of $E_p(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'})$ generated by

$$\left(\frac{(l+1)\mathrm{Frob}_l - a_l}{p} \right) D_{n/l} \alpha(n/l),$$

where we identify the point $D_{n/l} \alpha(n/l)$ with its reduction mod λ' .

When v is a place of good reduction for E , part 1 follows from standard cohomological arguments, using the fact that $\nu(n)$ is inflated from a class in $H^1(H[n]/H, E)$ and that the w' are unramified in $H[n]/H$. The general case is proved in [2, prop. 6.2]. The isomorphism in part 2 is explicitly constructed in [2, prop. 6.2], using local class field theory.

Part 2 of lemma 3.4 will be applied via the following corollary:

Corollary 3.5 *There is a G -equivariant isomorphism*

$$\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p \rightarrow \oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} E(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'})/p$$

which sends $\text{res}_\lambda \nu(n)$ to $\text{res}_\lambda D_{n/l} \alpha(n/l)$.

(Observe that $\text{res}_\lambda D_{n/l} \alpha(n/l)$ is well-defined in $\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} E(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'})/p$.) Using the map T of lemma 3.4, a choice of generators for $\mu_p(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'})$ defines a (non-canonical) isomorphism

$$i : \oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} E_p(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'}).$$

By choosing the generators appropriately, we may ensure that i sends $\text{res}_\lambda \nu(n)$ to

$$\left(\frac{(l+1)\text{Frob}_l - a_l}{p} \right) \text{res}_\lambda D_{n/l} \alpha(n/l).$$

The operator $W = \left(\frac{(l+1)\text{Frob}_l - a_l}{p} \right)$ induces an isomorphism $E(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'})/p \rightarrow E_p(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'})$. (This can be shown by decomposing $E(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'})$ into eigencomponents for the action of the involution Frob_l . The trivial and non-trivial components are of order $l+1-a_l$ and $l+1+a_l$ respectively, and hence W is an isomorphism on the eigencomponents.) The composition $W^{-1}i$ gives the desired map.

4 Local Tate duality: generating $\text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H)$

Local Tate duality [6] gives a perfect pairing

$$\langle \rangle_{\lambda'} : E(H_{\lambda'})/p \times H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$$

which identifies $\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p$ with $(\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} E(H_{\lambda'})/p)^{\text{dual}}$. The p -Selmer group $\text{Sel}_p(E/H)$ consists of the cohomology classes $s \in H^1(H, E_p)$ whose restrictions $\text{res}_v(s) \in H^1(H_v, E_p)$ belong to $E(H_v)/p$ for all places v of H , where we view $E(H_v)/p$ as a subspace of $H^1(H_v, E_p)$ by using the local p -descent exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(H_v)/p \rightarrow H^1(H_v, E_p) \rightarrow H^1(H_v, E)_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Transposing the map:

$$\text{res}_\lambda : \text{Sel}_p(E/H) \rightarrow (\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} E(H_{\lambda'})/p),$$

and using the identification given by the local Tate pairing, we get a homomorphism

$$\Psi_l : \oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p \rightarrow \text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H).$$

Let X_l denote the image of Ψ_l in $\text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H)$. We choose an auxiliary special prime l_1 and define the following Galois extensions of \mathbf{Q} :

$$\begin{aligned} F &= H[l_1](E_p), \\ M_0 &= F(\alpha/p)^{\text{Gal}}, \\ M_1 &= F(D_{l_1}\alpha(l_1)/p)^{\text{Gal}}, \\ M &= M_0M_1, \end{aligned}$$

where the superscript ‘‘Gal’’ indicates taking normal closure over \mathbf{Q} . (The reasons for these definitions will become clear in the next section.) By lemma 2.1,

$$\text{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q}) = \text{Gal}(H[l_1]/\mathbf{Q}) \times \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(E_p)/\mathbf{Q}) = \text{Gal}(H[l_1]/\mathbf{Q}) \times \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p).$$

The Galois groups V_0 , V_1 , and V of M_0 , M_1 , and M over F are \mathbf{F}_p -vector spaces equipped with a natural action of $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M & & \\ & \nearrow & | & \nwarrow & \\ M_0 & & |^V & & M_1 \\ & \searrow & | & \nearrow & \\ & & F & & \\ & & | & & \\ & & K & & \end{array}$$

Given a subset U of V , define

$$\mathcal{L}(U) = \{l \text{ rational prime} \mid \text{Frob}_l(M/Q) = [\tau u], \text{ for } u \in U\}.$$

Note that every $l \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ is special.

Proposition 4.1 *If U^+ generates V^+ , then the X_l , with l ranging over $\mathcal{L}(U)$, generate $\text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H)$.*

Proof: Let s be in $\text{Sel}_p(E/H)$. To prove the proposition, it suffices (by the non-degeneracy of the local Tate pairing) to show that $\text{res}_\lambda(s) = 0$ for all $l \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ implies $s = 0$. Assume without loss of generality that s is in an eigenspace for the action of τ . Let us identify s with its image by restriction in:

$$H^1(F, E_p)^{\text{Gal}(F/H)} \subset \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(H(E_p)/H)}(\text{Gal}(\bar{M}/F), E_p),$$

where \bar{M} denotes the maximal abelian extension of F whose Galois group is of exponent p . The restriction is injective because it can be written as a composition

$$H^1(H, E_p) \rightarrow H^1(H(E_p), E_p)^{\text{Gal}(H(E_p)/H)} \rightarrow H^1(F, E_p)^{\text{Gal}(H(E_p)/H)}.$$

Both arrows are injections: the kernel of the first is

$$H^1(H(E_p)/H, E_p) = H^1(\mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p), \mathbf{F}_p^2) = 0,$$

and the kernel of the second is

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(H(E_p)/H)}(\text{Gal}(F/H(E_p)), E_p) = 0.$$

Choose a minimal Galois extension \tilde{M} of \mathbf{Q} containing M with the property that s factors through $\text{Gal}(\tilde{M}/F)$. Let $x \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{M}/F)$ be such that $x|_M \in U$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, we may find $l \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ such that $\text{Frob}_l(\tilde{M}/Q) = [\tau x]$. The hypothesis $\text{res}_\lambda(s) = 0$ means that:

$$s(\text{Frob}_{\lambda'}(\tilde{M}/F)) = 0,$$

for all primes λ' of \tilde{M} above l . On the other hand, for some λ' above l ,

$$\text{Frob}_{\lambda'}(\tilde{M}/F) = (\tau x)^2 = x^\tau x = (x^+)^2,$$

and hence $s(x^+) = 0$. Since U^+ generates V^+ , the homomorphism s vanishes on $\text{Gal}(\tilde{M}/F)^+$. Hence the image of s is contained in an eigenspace of E_p for the action of τ . In particular, it is a proper $\text{Gal}(H[l_1](E_p)/H[l_1])$ -submodule of E_p . Hence it is trivial, since

$$\text{Gal}(H[l_1](E_p)/H[l_1]) = \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$$

by lemma 2.1. Therefore $s = 0$.

5 Global Tate duality: relations in $\text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H)$

The tool for finding relations in $\text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H)$ is:

Proposition 5.1 *If $s \in \text{Sel}_p(E/H)$ and $\gamma \in H^1(H, E)_p$, then*

$$\sum_w \langle \text{res}_w s, \text{res}_w \gamma \rangle_w = 0,$$

where the sum is taken over all places of H .

This proposition is an immediate consequence of the global reciprocity law for elements in the Brauer group of H , taking into account the definition of the local Tate duality [6].

We suppose that the auxiliary special prime l_1 of the previous section satisfies the following property:

$$\text{res}_{\lambda_1} e_{\bar{\chi}} \alpha \neq 0 \quad (4)$$

(and hence $\text{res}_{\lambda_1} e_{\chi} \alpha \neq 0$, by lemma 2.3.) Such an l_1 exists by the Chebotarev density theorem applied to the extension $H(E_p)(e_{\bar{\chi}} \alpha/p)/\mathbf{Q}$, using the hypothesis that $e_{\bar{\chi}} \alpha \neq 0$ in $E(H)/p$. By corollary 3.5, condition (4) implies that

$$\text{res}_{\lambda_1} e_{\bar{\chi}} \nu(l_1) \neq 0. \quad (5)$$

We need to examine the extensions M of F defined in the previous section. Let $M_0^{\bar{\chi}}$ (resp. $M_1^{\bar{\chi}}, M^{\bar{\chi}}$) denote the extensions $F(e_{\bar{\chi}} \alpha/p)$ (resp. $F(e_{\bar{\chi}} D_{l_1} \alpha(l_1)/p)$, $F(e_{\bar{\chi}} \alpha/p, e_{\bar{\chi}} D_{l_1} \alpha(l_1)/p)$).

Lemma 5.2 *The extensions $M_0^{\bar{\chi}}$ and $M_1^{\bar{\chi}}$ are linearly disjoint over F .*

Proof: Indeed, linearly independent points in $E(H[l_1])/p$ give rise to linearly disjoint extensions over F . This is because the map

$$E(H[l_1])/p \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(F/H[l_1])}(V, E_p)$$

is injective, and linearly independent elements of $\text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(F/H[l_1])}(V, E_p)$ cut out linearly disjoint extensions over F (use the fact that $\text{Gal}(F/H[l_1]) \simeq \mathbf{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_p)$, by lemma 2.1). Hence, if $M_0^{\bar{\chi}}$ and $M_1^{\bar{\chi}}$ were not linearly disjoint over \mathbf{F} , we would have:

$$e_{\bar{\chi}} D_{l_1} \alpha(l_1) = u e_{\bar{\chi}} \alpha \quad \text{in } E(H[l_1])/p, \quad u \in \mathbf{F}_p^*.$$

The exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & (E(H)/p)^{\bar{\chi}} & \rightarrow & (E(H[l_1])/p)^{G_{l_1, \bar{\chi}}} & \rightarrow & H^1(G_{l_1}, E)_p^{\bar{\chi}} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & e_{\bar{\chi}} D_{l_1} \alpha(l_1) & \mapsto & e_{\bar{\chi}} \nu(l_1) \end{array}$$

and equation (5) show that this cannot happen.

We now describe the action of complex conjugation on $V^{\bar{\chi}}$, by using 2.3 and the relation $\tau D_l = -D_l \tau$. There are two cases:

Case 1: $\chi = \bar{\chi}$. Complex conjugation τ acts on $V^\chi = V_0^\chi \times V_1^\chi = E_p \times E_p$ by

$$\tau(x, y)\tau = (\epsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau x, -\epsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau y)$$

Case 2: $\chi \neq \bar{\chi}$. Then τ does not stabilize V^χ or $V^{\bar{\chi}}$, but interchanges these two components. The action of τ on

$$V_0^\chi \times V_0^{\bar{\chi}} \times V_1^\chi \times V_1^{\bar{\chi}} \simeq E_p^4$$

is given by:

$$\tau(x, y, z, w)\tau = (\epsilon\bar{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau y, \epsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau x, -\epsilon\bar{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau w, -\epsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau z)$$

We define a subset U of V as follows:

$$\text{Case 1 : } U = \{(x, y) | \epsilon\bar{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau x + x \text{ and } -\epsilon\bar{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau y + y \text{ generate } E_p\} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Case 2 : } U = \{(x, y, z, w) | \epsilon\chi(\sigma_0)\tau x + y \text{ and } -\epsilon\bar{\chi}(\sigma_0)\tau z + w \text{ generate } E_p\} \quad (7)$$

Note that, in both cases, U satisfies the property of prop. 4.1. Let l be a prime in $\mathcal{L}(U)$:

Lemma 5.3 *The local cohomology classes $\text{res}_\lambda e_{\bar{\chi}}\nu(l)$ and $\text{res}_\lambda e_{\bar{\chi}}\nu(l_1)$ generate $(\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p)^{\bar{\chi}}$.*

Proof: Since $\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p \simeq (\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} E(H_{\lambda'})/p)^{\text{dual}}$ is isomorphic to two copies of the regular representation as an $\mathbf{F}_p[G]$ -module, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} (\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p)^{\bar{\chi}} = 2.$$

The isomorphism of corollary 3.5 sends $\text{res}_\lambda e_{\bar{\chi}}\nu(l)$ and $\text{res}_\lambda e_{\bar{\chi}}\nu(l_1)$ to $e_{\bar{\chi}}\alpha$ and $e_{\bar{\chi}}D_{l_1}\alpha(l_1)$. The Frobenius condition on l in the definitions (6,7) of U show that these two points are linearly independent in $\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} E(\mathbf{F}_{\lambda'})/p$. Hence $\text{res}_\lambda e_{\bar{\chi}}\nu(l)$ and $\text{res}_\lambda e_{\bar{\chi}}\nu(l_1)$ are linearly independent, and span $(\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p)^{\bar{\chi}}$. We recall that X_l is the image of $(\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p)^{\text{dual}}$ in $\text{Sel}_p(E/H)^{\text{dual}}$.

Proposition 5.4 *The module $X_l^{\bar{\chi}}$ is of dimension 1 over \mathbf{F}_p .*

Proof: By prop. 5.1 and part 1 of lemma 3.4, the kernel of the map

$$(\oplus_{\lambda'|\lambda} H^1(H_{\lambda'}, E)_p)^{\bar{\chi}} \rightarrow X_l^{\bar{\chi}}$$

contains the non-trivial element $\text{res}_{\lambda} e_{\bar{\chi}} \nu(l)$. On the other hand, $X_l^{\bar{\chi}} \neq 0$: it does not vanish identically on $e_{\bar{\chi}} \alpha$, since $\text{res}_{\lambda} e_{\bar{\chi}} \alpha \neq 0$, and the local Tate pairing is non-degenerate. Hence $X_l^{\bar{\chi}}$ is one-dimensional.

Proposition 5.5 *All of the $X_l^{\bar{\chi}}$ are equal, for $l \in \mathcal{L}(U)$.*

Proof: We show that $X_l^{\bar{\chi}} = X_{l_1}^{\bar{\chi}}$ for all $l \in \mathcal{L}(U)$. By prop. 5.1 applied to the Heegner class $e_{\bar{\chi}} \nu(ll_1)$, we have:

$$\text{res}_{\lambda} e_{\bar{\chi}} \nu(ll_1) + \text{res}_{\lambda_1} e_{\bar{\chi}} \nu(ll_1) = 0 \text{ in } \text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H)^{\bar{\chi}}.$$

By lemma 5.3, $\text{res}_{\lambda} e_{\bar{\chi}} \nu(ll_1)$ generates $X_l^{\bar{\chi}}$. Hence $\text{res}_{\lambda_1} e_{\bar{\chi}} \nu(ll_1)$ is non-zero and generates $X_{l_1}^{\bar{\chi}}$, and $X_l^{\bar{\chi}} = X_{l_1}^{\bar{\chi}}$.

6 Conclusion of the proof

Let δ denote the coboundary map $E(H)/p \rightarrow H^1(H, E_p)$. We can now show:

Theorem 6.1 *The following are true:*

1. $\text{Sel}_p(E/H)^{\chi} = \mathbf{F}_p \delta(e_{\chi} \alpha)$;
2. $E(H)/pE(H)^{\chi} = \mathbf{F}_p(e_{\chi} \alpha)$.

Proof: By prop. 4.1, the $X_l^{\bar{\chi}}$ generate $\text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H)^{\bar{\chi}}$ when l ranges over $\mathcal{L}(U)$. On the other hand, each $X_l^{\bar{\chi}}$ is one-dimensional, and all the $X_l^{\bar{\chi}}$ are equal. Hence

$$\dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \text{Sel}_p^{\text{dual}}(E/H)^{\bar{\chi}} = \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} \text{Sel}_p(E/H)^{\chi} = 1,$$

and $\text{Sel}_p(E/H)^{\chi}$ is generated by the non-zero element $\delta(e_{\chi} \alpha)$. It follows that $(E(H)/p)^{\chi}$ is one-dimensional, generated by the Heegner point $e_{\chi} \alpha$.

Remarks:

1. In [1], Gross formulates his conjecture for abelian varieties which are quotients of the jacobian of the modular curve $X_0(N)$. The argument given above extends to this more general situation. For more details, see [5].
2. For applications of the formalism of Euler systems to different arithmetic situations, see [7].

References

- [1] B.H. Gross, *Heegner points on $X_0(N)$* , Modular Forms (R.A. Rankin, Ed.) Chichester, Ellis Horwood, 1984, 87-106.
- [2] B.H. Gross, *Kolyvagin's work on modular elliptic curves*, Proc. Durham symposium on L-functions and arithmetic, 1989, to appear.
- [3] B.H. Gross and D.B. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series*. Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 225-320.
- [4] V.A. Kolyvagin, *Euler Systems*, (1988). To appear in a Birkhäuser volume in honor of Grothendieck.
- [5] V.A. Kolyvagin and D.Y. Logachev, *Finiteness of the Shafarevich-Tate group and group of rational points for some modular abelian varieties*. Algebra and analysis (USSR), No. 5. (1989).
- [6] J.S. Milne, *Arithmetic duality theorems*. Perspectives in mathematics. Academic Press, 1986.
- [7] K. Rubin, Appendix to S. Lang, *Cyclotomic Fields I and II*, combined second edition, GTM 121, Springer-Verlag, New York 1990.
- [8] J-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*. Invent. Math. 15 (1972), 259-331.

Massimo Bertolini, Columbia U. Math. Dept., New York, NY 10027.

Henri Darmon, Harvard U. Math. Dept., 1 Oxford St., Cambridge MA 02138.